

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/826,801	CUELLAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tae W. Kim	2876	

All Participants:

- (1) Tae W. Kim.
- (2) Gerald P. Parsons (24486).

Status of Application: _____

- (3) _____
- (4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 February 2006
Time: 11 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

14-54

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 MICHAEL R. LEE
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Gerald P. Parsons authorized an examiners's amendment to incorporate the limitations of claim 15 into claim 14 and to cancel claims 15 and 36-38 and to change the dependency of claim 17 to depend on claim 14. The examiners amendment was required in order to remove potential rejections. .